


Q1 Is the interpretation reasonable?


Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	100.00%	10
No	0.00%	0
Undecided	0.00%	0
Total		10

Q2 Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?


Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.00% 9
No	0.00% 0
Undecided	10.00% 1
Total	10

Q3 Would you recommend a revision of this policy?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	0.00% 0
No	100.00% 9
Total	9


Q4 If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?

Answered: 0 Skipped: 10

Q5 Please provide your name.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Thursday, December 22, 2016 4:27:05 PM

Last Modified: Thursday, December 22, 2016 4:27:22 PM

Time Spent: 00:00:16

IP Address: 67.160.119.70

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?

Yes

Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?

Yes

Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?

Respondent skipped this question


Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Please provide your name.

Respondent skipped this question

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Friday, December 23, 2016 1:42:02 PM

Last Modified: Friday, December 23, 2016 1:42:23 PM

Time Spent: 00:00:20

IP Address: 199.241.209.25

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Jim Key

#3



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, January 02, 2017 10:09:13 AM

Last Modified: Monday, January 02, 2017 10:35:01 AM

Time Spent: 00:25:48

IP Address: 73.17.225.196

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?

Yes

Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?

Undecided,

Comments

As a worship leader, I want to have a conversation around the issue of copyright protection as to how it pertains to individual congregations. I have no issues with the other parts of the compliance.

Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?

No


Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Please provide your name.

Sarah Dan Jones

#4



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, January 02, 2017 12:41:02 PM

Last Modified: Monday, January 02, 2017 12:41:24 PM


Time Spent: 00:00:22

IP Address: 174.26.132.129

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Andy Burnette

#5



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, January 02, 2017 8:16:19 PM

Last Modified: Monday, January 02, 2017 8:16:58 PM


Time Spent: 00:00:38

IP Address: 68.195.113.160

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Tim Atkins

#6



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 11:29:17 AM

Last Modified: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 11:29:31 AM


Time Spent: 00:00:14

IP Address: 23.30.237.57

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Rob Eller-Isaacs

#7



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 5:22:30 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 5:29:09 PM

Time Spent: 00:06:38

IP Address: 38.97.75.130

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?

Yes,

Comments

Are the copyrights and trademarks the Association's only intangible assets?

Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?

Yes

Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?

No


Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Please provide your name.

Lucia Field

#8



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Thursday, January 05, 2017 8:03:44 AM

Last Modified: Thursday, January 05, 2017 8:10:06 AM


Time Spent: 00:06:21

IP Address: 216.162.88.226

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Andrea Briscoe

#9



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, January 09, 2017 2:56:39 PM
Last Modified: Monday, January 09, 2017 3:04:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:30
IP Address: 216.16.213.113

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?

Yes,

Comments

Wording for first bullet in the section entitled "to protect financial assets, the UUA" could be improved to: "obtain crime insurance at reasonable levels on employees who handle funds"; On page 3, in Crime Insurance section, a clarification may be needed. Specifically, should "per employee" be inserted after word "million" in, "...the UUA has obtained \$1 million.." On page 4, should "per occurrence" be inserted after "\$5 million" in paragraph beginning with "The UUA also carries...." (2nd full paragraph, p.4

Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?

Yes

Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?

No


Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Please provide your name.

Dorothy Holmes

#10



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Tuesday, January 10, 2017 11:42:54 PM

Last Modified: Tuesday, January 10, 2017 11:44:22 PM

Time Spent: 00:01:27

IP Address: 73.38.226.25

PAGE 1

Q1: Is the interpretation reasonable?	Yes
Q2: Does the evidence provided demonstrate the level of compliance reported by the Administration?	Yes
Q3: Would you recommend a revision of this policy?	No
Q4: If you recommend a revision of this policy, why, and what is the suggested revision?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q5: Please provide your name.	Patrick McLaughlin
