

**Procedures to Guide Implementation of
Unitarian Universalist Policies, Section 4
October 2014**

4.0 Global Board-President Linkage

Policy: The Board's sole official connection to the operational organization, its achievements and conduct will be through the President.

4.1 Unity of Control

Policy: Only officially passed motions of the Board are binding on the President.

Procedures:

1. Decisions or instructions of individual Trustees, officers, or committees are not binding on the President except in rare instances when the Board has specifically authorized such exercise of authority.
2. In the case of Trustees or committees requesting information or assistance without Board authorization, the President can refuse such requests that require, in the President's opinion, a material amount of staff time or funds or is disruptive.

4.2 Accountability of the President

Policy: The President is the Board's only link to operational achievement and conduct, so that all authority and accountability of staff, as far as the Board is concerned, is considered the authority and accountability of the President.

Policy: The Board will direct the President through written policies that prescribe the Shared Vision (ENDS) to be achieved, and describe organizational situations and actions to be avoided, allowing the President to use any reasonable interpretation of these policies.

Procedures:

1. The Board will never give instructions to persons who report directly or indirectly to the President.
2. The Board will not evaluate, either formally or informally, any staff other than the President.
3. The Board will view President performance as identical to organizational performance, so that organizational accomplishment of Board stated Ends and avoidance of Board proscribed means will be viewed as successful President performance.
4. The Board will develop policies instructing the President to achieve

**Procedures to Guide Implementation of
Unitarian Universalist Policies, Section 4
October 2014**

certain results, for certain recipients at a specified cost. These policies will be developed systematically from the broadest, most general level to the more define levels, and will be called the Shared Vision (Ends).

5. The Board will develop policies that limit the latitude the President may exercise in choosing the organizational means. These policies will be developed systematically from the broadest, most general level to more defined levels, and they will be called Leadership Covenant and Expectations policies (Executive Limitations).
6. Only the Board may determine what constitutes a reasonable interpretation of its policies. As long as the President uses any reasonable interpretation of the Board's Shared Vision the President is authorized to establish all further policies, make all decisions, take all actions, establish all practices and develop all activities.
7. The Board may change its Shared Vision (Ends) and Leadership Covenant and Expectations policies, thereby shifting the boundary between Board and President domains. By doing so, the Board changes the latitude of choice given to the President. But as long as any particular delegation is in place, the Board will respect and support the President's choices.
8. The Board delegates to the President the responsibility to recommend, for approval by the Board, slates of candidates for the Ministerial Fellowship Committee and its subcommittees until the bylaws are changed to give the President the responsibility for such appointments.

4.4 Monitoring President Performance

Policy: Systematic and rigorous monitoring of President job performance will be solely against the only expected President job outputs: organizational accomplishment of the Shared Vision (Ends) as defined by Board policies, and organizational operation within the boundaries established in Board policies on Leadership Covenant and Expectations.

Procedures:

1. Monitoring is to determine the degree to which Board policies are being met. Data that do not do this will not be considered to be monitoring data.
2. The Board will acquire monitoring data by one or more of three methods:
 1. by internal report, in which the President discloses compliance information to the Board,
 2. by external report, in which an external, disinterested third party selected by the Board assesses compliance with Board policies, and
 3. by direct Board inspection, in which a designated Trustee or Trustees assess compliance with the appropriate policy criteria.

**Procedures to Guide Implementation of
Unitarian Universalist Policies, Section 4
October 2014**

3. In its review of internal monitoring reports, the board will require a standard of excellence in monitoring where the interpretation includes the presentation of the President's established operational definition, details the standard for successful performance, and includes a rationale to justify the reasonableness of the definition. The interpretation will be followed by data and evidence that demonstrates both results and compliance with the operational definition, and includes the President's declaration of compliance or non-compliance. In every case, the standard for compliance shall be any reasonable interpretation by the President of the Board policy being monitored. Only the Board may decide what constitutes a reasonable interpretation.
 1. We will view the monitoring process as a learning opportunity, identifying and processing teaching moments produced by our work.
 2. The Board may accept or reject a monitoring report based on the reasonableness of the interpretation and adequacy of the supporting data and/or information. Rejection would require a rewrite of the interpretation or a rehabilitation plan toward full compliance to be submitted within a specified period of time.
 3. The Board has several options in addressing a monitoring report:
 1. Accept a report, finding that the interpretation is reasonable and that the data is in compliance with the metrics in the interpretation.
 2. Accept a report with acknowledgement of concerns and broad direction for the next rotation of monitoring reports. It would be expected that the board concern expressed will be integrated into the next rotation of reporting for that policy in order for the board to accept the subsequent report.
 3. Reject a report, expecting the report to be rewritten outside of the monitoring schedule, with a new interpretation and compliance, by a date specified.
 4. Reject a report when the data is not in compliance with the interpretation's metrics, expecting the report to include a reasonable rehabilitation plan.
 4. All policies that instruct the President will be monitored at a frequency and by a method chosen by the Board. The Board can monitor any policy at any time by any method, but will ordinarily depend on a routine schedule, following the monitoring schedule in Appendix 3.A.